

Wold, Marcia

From: Stern, Jeff
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2007 10:56 AM
To: Weidenfeller, John
Cc: Renaud, Rick; Johnson, Scott; Burke, Robert
Subject: RE: Billing Request to King County

I talked with the City on this. The work under Task 14K is limited to gathering data from SC work by City, County and Boeing so that they have the data and can plot it out to help assess the situation concerning the ability to move forward with the cleanup or not. They felt it was important to not just default the interpretation to the agencies but also have our own opinion on if we felt it was safe to go ahead. EPA also wanted the proponent to have a say on the call before they would give their go ahead.

They have separate tasks for that Consultant to work on any aspect of source control work in the flume or the GT steam plant (and they are not primary contractors working on that). All those costs are not covered under the MTCA grant task for slip 4 but under the source control task.

The City has run all this by the MTCA grant officer and has their OK. She has approved the portion of work being conducted under Task 14K to be eligible under the Slip 4 task for grant reimbursement and the other work to be eligible under the source control task.

While we could possibly argue that a very small portion of the work is more appropriate under City-only tasks, I don't see the point as they could be argued as needed for either task. And the totals being debated are a small fraction of the costs. We could ask for more detail in the progress reports but I found them to be enough to understand the charges in the invoices.

Based on all this, I feel that the work under Task 14K is consistent with the allowable costs under 2.1 of the MOA. I have reviewed the bills and progress payments and find all the charges reasonable for the city to have approved under their contract. Based on the MOA, I would find payment of our share acceptable. If you would like the City to provide the detail behind the invoices (ODCs and subcontractor invoices) I will ask again that they do so.

If you have any further questions or request any additional actions, please let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Weidenfeller, John
Sent: Sunday, February 18, 2007 5:24 PM
To: Stern, Jeff
Cc: Renaud, Rick; Johnson, Scott; Burke, Robert
Subject: RE: Billing Request to King County

Hi Jeff:

We have reviewed the requested invoices associated with the Slip 4 remediation, including:

Invoice L016127 for \$88,210.65
Invoice L018313 for \$166,023.96

As indicated earlier, the Airport can not supply payment without your authorization to pay on the invoiced amounts. Also from our review it appears that that the costs associated with Task 14K are inconsistent with Section 2.2 of the current Memorandum of Agreement with the City. We believe that backup documents is required to determine if this is an eligible expense. Please provide clarification on this expenditure as well as authorization for payment. Your assistance would be appreciated. I saw that the City provided you electronic copies of the bills and I'll resend that e-mail to you in case you didn't keep it. Let me know if you need anything else from me. Thanks....John.

From: Stern, Jeff

Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 10:46 AM
To: Renaud, Rick
Cc: Johnson, Scott; Chow, Irene; Weidenfeller, John
Subject: RE: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4

Sorry been out. I sent you the bill so I no longer have the detail. The earlier bills I have do not include a task 14K so I can't answer. The last 2 I have not seen the supporting detail even though I have asked. If it is as you say I would say it is not intended to be covered by us.

From: Renaud, Rick
Sent: Friday, December 29, 2006 9:51 AM
To: Stern, Jeff
Cc: Johnson, Scott; Chow, Irene; Weidenfeller, John
Subject: RE: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4

John provided me the attached invoice to review.

Could you please confirm that the costs associated with Task 14K are consistent with the Section 2.2 of the current Memorandum of Agreement with the City. Appears from the attached progress report that these costs maybe associated with source investigations or control measures perfumed at the City's Steam Plant site.

If the invoiced charges are ok, please authorize the attached invoice as "ok to Pay" and return to John.

Thanks.

From: Stern, Jeff
Sent: Tuesday, December 26, 2006 7:10 PM
To: Weidenfeller, John
Cc: Renaud, Rick; Johnson, Scott; Chow, Irene
Subject: RE: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4

We have paid all of the invoices except the latest one that we sent to you. The reimbursements that she needs to send are for earlier billings that WTD paid so she should send to us. At the point that we get reimbursed for the charges you are paying I can then have her send them directly to you.

From: Weidenfeller, John
Sent: Tue 12/26/2006 1:13 PM
To: Stern, Jeff
Cc: Renaud, Rick; Johnson, Scott; Chow, Irene
Subject: FW: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4

Hi Jeff:

Please let me know if these are bills that need to be paid, and if so have you paid them? There are refunds that need to be applied. Can you please tell me who has paid for these items to date? Would you like us to work with your accounting folks on this issue?

John Weidenfeller

Business and Finance Manager

King County International Airport/Boeing Field

Department of Transportation (AIR-TR-0200)

7277 Perimeter Rd. S., PO Box 80245

Seattle, WA 98108-0245

Telephone: 206-296-7337

Fax: 206-296-0190

From: Weidenfeller, John
Sent: Friday, December 22, 2006 2:57 PM
To: 'Celia Grether'
Subject: RE: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4

Thanks!

John Weidenfeller

Business and Finance Manager

King County International Airport/Boeing Field

Department of Transportation (AIR-TR-0200)

7277 Perimeter Rd. S., PO Box 80245

Seattle, WA 98108-0245

Telephone: 206-296-7337

Fax: 206-296-0190

From: Celia Grether [mailto:celia.grether@Seattle.Gov]

Sent: Friday, December 22, 2006 2:34 PM
To: Weidenfeller, John
Cc: Stern, Jeff; Renaud, Rick; April Hooks; Jennie Goldberg
Subject: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4
Importance: High

Thanks for your help this afternoon. The unpaid invoices for Slip 4 are as follows:

L010076 \$20,590.41
L0 11618 \$11,890.23
L0 15300 \$71,193.71
L0 16127 \$88,210.65.

I am also attaching a copy of my memo to Jeff Stern of December 12, 2005. Apparently we should have issued two separate checks for the refunds and sent an invoice for \$11,779.01 as opposed to netting out the amounts and issuing a check.

This check has not been deposited so we will stop payment on it. We will re-issue both refunds as soon as you let us know where to send them.

Please let me know if you have been able to locate the outstanding bills. If not we will re-issue them as soon as possible.

Thanks again and Happy Holidays!

Celia Grether
Executive Assistant
Environmental Affairs Division
Seattle City Light
206-386-4588

-----Original Message-----

From: Weidenfeller, John
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2007 6:15 PM
To: Renaud, Rick
Subject: FW: Billing Request to King County

Here is background on e-mail I just sent you tonight, subj: FW: Outstanding Invoices for Slip 4.

John Weidenfeller

Business and Finance Manager
King County International Airport/Boeing Field Department of Transportation (AIR-TR-0200)
7277 Perimeter Rd. S., PO Box 80245
Seattle, WA 98108-0245

Telephone: 206-296-7337
Fax: 206-296-0190

-----Original Message-----

From: Kuehl, Pam
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 12:04 PM
To: Weidenfeller, John
Subject: FW: Billing Request to King County

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Donna Sherrill [mailto:Donna.Sherrill@Seattle.Gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 1:43 PM
To: Jennie Goldberg
Cc: Stern, Jeff; Kuehl, Pam; April Hooks; Celia Grether; Fernando Estudillo
Subject: Re: Billing Request to King County

Invoice L018313 in the amount of \$166,023.96 processed and will be printed and mailed Thursday, January 18, 2007.

>>> Jennie Goldberg 1/17/2007 6:47 PM >>>
Billing Request #12 attached. \$166,023.96 for August 2006 through November 2006.
Please note new County contact person and address.

This covers Integral invoices 73, 74, 75 and 76 and takes us through November 24, 2006. I will have a better estimate in a few days, but for now, if needed sooner, my estimate of the amount that we'll likely be billing the County is about \$75,000 for the month of December 2006.

I will also be re-sending the September invoice as the one attached is still marked draft in error, but the amount and back-up are correct.

also attached, is a copy of the new City/County MOA which was previously sent to Finance, but this is the first billing request that references the new MOA, so in case you don't have it handy.....

Jennie Goldberg
Seattle City Light
Environmental Affairs
PO Box 34023
Seattle, WA 98124-4023

phone 206-684-3167
fax: 206-386-4589
jennie.goldberg@seattle.gov